N.B.: (1) Q1. is compulsory, attempt any 3 questions out of remaining six questions
(2) Assume any necessary data to justify the same
(3) Figures to the right indicate full marks
(4) Use of scientific calculator is allowed


| Q1 | b) | Without using truth table prove $(\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}) \wedge(\mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}) \equiv(\mathrm{P} V \mathrm{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ | (05) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOLN } \\ & \text { LHS : }(\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}) \wedge(\mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}) \\ & \equiv(\sim \mathrm{P} \vee \mathrm{Q}) \wedge(\sim \mathrm{R} \vee \mathrm{Q}) \\ & \equiv(\mathrm{Q} \vee \sim \mathrm{P}) \wedge(\mathrm{QV} \sim \mathrm{R}) \\ & \equiv \mathrm{Q} \vee(\sim \mathrm{P} \wedge \sim \mathrm{R}) \\ & \equiv \mathrm{Q} \vee \sim(\mathrm{P} \vee \mathrm{R}) \\ & \equiv \sim(\mathrm{P} \vee \mathrm{R}) \vee \mathrm{Q} \\ & \equiv(\mathrm{P} \vee \mathrm{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Q} \quad=\mathrm{RHS} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Q1 | c) | What are the characteristics of a complex business problem, explain any two | (05) |
| SOLN <br> Characteristics Of Complex Business Problems: <br> - The number of possible solutions is so large that it precludes a complete search for the best answer. <br> - Problem exists in a time changing environment. <br> - The problem is heavily constrained. <br> - There are many (Possibly conflicting) objectives. <br> - Other characteristics are incomplete information, noisy data and uncertainly. Any two of above points needs to be explained |  |  |  |
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SOLN
Step1) Find weights for Relative (Criteria Vs Criteria)

|  | EXPERIENCE | EDUCATION | CHARISMA | AGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXPERIENCE | 1 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| EDUCATION | $1 / 4$ | 1 | $1 / 3$ | 3 |
| CHARISMA | $1 / 3$ | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| AGE | $1 / 7$ | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 5$ | 1 |
| sum | 1.726 | 8.333 | 4.533 | 16 |

Divide every element by column sum and then take row average

|  | EXPERIENCE | EDUCATION | CHARISMA | AGE | Row average wt or <br> eigen v |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXPERIENCE | 0.579 | 0.48 | 0.662 | 0.438 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 4}$ |
| EDUCATION | 0.145 | 0.12 | 0.074 | 0.188 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 3 2}$ |
| CHARISMA | 0.193 | 0.36 | 0.221 | 0.313 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 2}$ |
| AGE | 0.083 | 0.04 | 0.044 | 0.063 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 8}$ |
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Step2) Find Weights of each of the Critera (Alternative Vs Alternative)

| EXPERIENCE | TOM | DICK | HARRY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOM | 1 | $1 / 4$ | 4 |
| DICK | 4 | 1 | 9 |
| HARRY | $1 / 4$ | $1 / 9$ | 1 |
| sum | 5.25 | 1.361 | 14 |

Divide every ele by column sum \& then take row avg

| EXPERIENCE | TOM | DICK | HARRY | Row average wt <br> or eigen $v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOM | 0.19 | 0.184 | 0.286 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2}$ |
| DICK | 0.762 | 0.735 | 0.643 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 1 3}$ |
| HARRY | 0.048 | 0.082 | 0.071 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 7}$ |


| EDUCATION | TOM | DICK | HARRY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOM | 1 | 3 | $1 / 5$ |
| DICK | $1 / 3$ | 1 | $1 / 7$ |
| HARRY | 5 | 7 | 1 |
| sum | 6.333 | 11 | 1.343 |

Divide every ele by column sum \& then take row avg

| EDUCATION | TOM | DICK | HARRY | Row average <br> wt or eigen v |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOM | 0.158 | 0.273 | 0.149 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 3}$ |
| DICK | 0.053 | 0.091 | 0.106 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 3}$ |
| HARRY | 0.79 | 0.636 | 0.745 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 2 4}$ |


| CHARISMA | TOM | DICK | HARRY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOM | 1 | 5 | 9 |
| DICK | $1 / 5$ | 1 | 4 |
| HARRY | $1 / 9$ | $1 / 4$ | 1 |
| sum | 1.311 | 6.25 | 14 |

Divide every ele by column sum \& then take row avg

| CHARISMA | TOM | DICK | HARRY | Row average <br> wt or eigen v |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOM | 0.763 | 0.8 | 0.643 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 5}$ |
| DICK | 0.153 | 0.16 | 0.286 | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| HARRY | 0.085 | 0.04 | 0.071 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 5}$ |


| AGE | TOM | DICK | HARRY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOM | 1 | $1 / 3$ | 5 |
| DICK | 3 | 1 | 9 |
| HARRY | $1 / 5$ | $1 / 9$ | 1 |
| sum | 4.2 | 1.444 | 15 |

Divide every ele by column sum \& then take row avg

|  | TOM | DICK | HARRY | Row average <br> wt or eigen v |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | TOM | 0.238 | 0.231 | 0.333 |
| TOM | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 7}$ |  |  |  |
| DICK | 0.714 | 0.693 | 0.6 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 6 9}$ |
| HARRY | 0.048 | 0.077 | 0.067 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 4}$ |

Step3)
The composite impact table

| WEIGHTS | 0.54 | 0.132 | 0.272 | 0.058 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Criteria --> | EXPERIENCE | EDUCATION | CHARISMA | AGE |
| TOM | 0.22 | 0.193 | 0.735 | 0.267 |
| DICK | 0.713 | 0.083 | 0.2 | 0.669 |
| HARRY | 0.067 | 0.723 | 0.065 | 0.064 |

Composite impact of $\mathrm{TOM}=0.359$,
Composite impact of DICK $=0.489$,
Composite impact of $\mathrm{HARRY}=0.153$.
Best composite score is : 0.489 , Best Alternative is to choose DICK
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| Q2 | b) | Use Mathematical induction to prove the property P(n) $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}): 3^{\mathrm{n}}+2 \mathrm{n}-1$ is divisible by $4 \forall \mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (05) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOLN <br> $P(1)$ is true, Assume $P(k)$ is true $=>3^{k}+2 k-1$ <br> Claim : $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k}+1)$ is true i.e. $3^{\mathrm{k}+1}+2(\mathrm{k}+1)-1$ is divisible by $4,3^{\mathrm{k}}+2 \mathrm{k}-1$ is divisible by 4 <br> Further solving we get $3^{\mathrm{k}+1}+2(\mathrm{k}+1)-1=4 \mathrm{~m}$ for some integer m $=$ RHS. Hence proved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q3 | a) | Use SAW <br> Durability <br> The meas | method to de in years and R res for differ MAINTANCE COST in Rs. | termine the bes esale value , othe nt criteria are gi | st car. The be <br> ers are non bene <br> ven in the table <br> DURABILITY IN <br> YEARS <br> 6.5 <br> 10 <br> 10 <br> DURABILITY <br> IN YEARS <br> 0.25 | eficiar iciary elow RESAL | criteria are riteria | (10) |
| Sum of Weights is 1 , Already Normalized <br> As Durability in years and Resale value are Beneficiary and Maintenance cost and Resale Value are Non beneficiary, we need to normalize the measures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weight |  |  | 0.15 | 0.4 | 0.25 |  | 0.2 |  |
| Cri_typ |  |  | - | - | + |  | + |  |
|  |  | MAINT | ANCE COST in Rs. | Purchase PRICE IN Rs. | DURABILITY YEARS |  | $\underset{\text { Res. }}{\text { Rese }}$ |  |
| CAR1 |  |  | 800 | 350000 | 6.5 |  | 100000 |  |
| CAR2 |  |  | 1000 | 1000000 | 10 |  | 450000 |  |
| CAR3 |  |  | 1250 | 650000 | 10 |  | 290000 |  |
| After Normalizing, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weight |  |  | . 15 | 0.4 | 0.25 |  | 0.2 |  |
|  |  | MAINT | NCE COST in Rs. | Purchase PRICE IN Rs. | DURABILITY IN | YEARS | RESALE VALUE Rs. |  |
| CAR1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.65 |  | 0.222 |  |
| CAR2 |  |  | 0.8 | 0.35 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| CAR3 |  |  | 0.64 | 0.538 | 1 |  | 0.644 |  |
| Performance scores are $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{CAR} 1)=0.76, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{CAR} 2)=0.71, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{CAR} 3)=0.69$ THE DECISION IS TO CHOOSE THE CAR1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





